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Abstract

A standard protocol is needed to integrate process engines across the Internet or intranet and provide for their interaction. A process engine, a special type of asynchronous service (as defined by the Asynchronous Web Services Protocol (AWSP)), has a set of activities that represent steps in the execution of its service. Exposing those steps allows the service invoker to gain additional insight into the status of that service.

The AWSP is a proposed way to provide the basic ability to control and monitor an asynchronous web service through the use of Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP), and by transferring structured information encoded in XML. Controlling an asynchronous web service includes creating the service, setting up the service, starting the service, stopping the service, being informed of exceptions, being informed of the completion of the service and getting the results of the service. Monitoring the web service consists of checking on the current status of the service and getting a history of the execution of the service.

The external program that invokes a process need use only AWSP for the basic starting and monitoring. WF-XML then builds upon and extends this interface for the special case of this service being a process engine. These extensions allow one to retrieve the list of activities that the process is waiting on. The activities can provide additional information about who is assigned to the activities, and possibly the remote sub-processes that have been invoked to fulfill the activities. Thus, WF-XML takes the protocol from a simple exchange to start and monitor a process, to a way of introspecting the chains of related processes all invoked to satisfy the original goal.

This document will:

- Provide an executive overview
- Specify the goals of WF-XML as an extension of AWSP.
- Explain how resource (object) model works and how URIs are used to invoke methods of those resources.
- Specify preliminary details of the interface methods and parameters
# Table of contents

1.0 Executive Overview ................................................................. 4  
1.1 Summary .................................................................................. 4  
1.2 Discussion of this Draft ........................................................... 4  
2 Goals ............................................................................................ 4  
2.1 Problem Statement ................................................................. 4  
2.2 Things to Achieve ..................................................................... 5  
2.3 Things not part of the goals .................................................... 5  
2.4 Audience .................................................................................. 6  
2.5 Terminology .............................................................................. 6  
2.6 Related Documents .................................................................. 7  
2.7 Notation conventions .............................................................. 7  
3 Resource Model .......................................................................... 8  
3.1 Resources Type Overview ....................................................... 8  
3.1.1 Instance ................................................................................ 9  
3.1.2 Factory ..................................................................................  
3.1.3 Observer .............................................................................. 9  
3.1.4 Activity .................................................................................. 9  
3.1.5 Service Registry ................................................................. 9  
3.2 URI ............................................................................................ 9  
3.3 Parameters ............................................................................... 10  
3.4 Returned Values ....................................................................... 10  
4 Protocol ....................................................................................... 10  
4.1 AWSP ...................................................................................... 10  
4.2 Request & Response ................................................................ 11  
5 Resource Methods ...................................................................... 11  
5.1 Instance Resource .................................................................... 11  
5.1.1 Instance Resource Properties ............................................ 15  
5.1.2 ListActivities ...................................................................... 16  
5.2 Factory Resource ...................................................................... 9  
5.2.1 Factory Resource Properties .............................................  
5.2.2 GetDefinition ...................................................................... 9  
5.3 Observer Resource ................................................................. 9  
5.4 Activity Resource ..................................................................... 17  
5.4.1 GetProperties ...................................................................... 17  
5.4.2 SetProperties ....................................................................... 18  
5.4.3 CompleteActivity ............................................................... 19  
5.5 ServiceRegistry ....................................................................... 11  
5.5.1 ListFactories .................................................................  
5.5.2 NewFactory .......................................................................  
6 Data Encoding ........................................................................... 20  
6.1 Size Limits and Data Resources ............................................. 20  
6.2 Data Types ............................................................................. 20  
6.3 Extensibility ............................................................................ 20  
6.4 PortTypes Property ................................................................. 21  
6.5 Exceptions and Error Codes ................................................... 21  
7 References .................................................................................. 22  
8 Version History and Notes ......................................................... 23  
9 Acknowledgements ..................................................................... 24  
10 Author Contact Information .................................................... 24  
11 Open Issues ............................................................................. 24
1.0 Executive Overview

1.1 Summary

AWSP offers a way to start an instance of an asynchronous web service (AWS), monitor it, control it, and be notified when it is complete. This service instance can perform just about anything for any purpose. The key aspect is that the service instance is something that one would like to start remotely, and it may take a long time to run to completion. Short-lived services could be invoked synchronously with SOAP and one would simply wait for completion, but because these process instances could last anywhere from a few minutes to a few months, they must be invoked asynchronously.

WF-XML extends this in the special case that the asynchronous service is being invoked on a process engine. The Service Factory maps to a Process Definition; the Service Instance maps to a Process Instance. Process engines provide some additional capabilities for monitoring the process. First of all, because it is a process, and not simply an opaque service, there is a process diagram. This diagram can be retrieved for introspection. Second, since the process is composed of activities, one can ask the activities for their current values. An activity may itself represent an invocation of a yet another remote service, and the address of that service instance may be retrieved. Thirdly, the process definitions can be edited, removed, or added.

AWSP has established that a standard protocol is needed to connect asynchronous services, whether they are implemented as process engines or not. WF-XML provides a way to merge process-oriented tools into the generic invocation framework. A process definition tool now has a standard way to retrieve or update a process definition. A process-monitoring tool can do the same for tracking process instances, and can follow links to sub-processes as well as sub-sub-processes.

1.2 Discussion of this Draft

Discussions on this draft are carried out on a forum list:

http://www.workflow-research.de/Forums/

Comments on the draft should be entered into the WG4 forum at that address. Please check the following web site for instructions on how to join the forum and for information on other documents from the same working group:

http://www.wfmc.org/

2 Goals

2.1 Problem Statement

AWSP allows one to start a remote service instance that is a process instance. We need a way to:

- Find the activities within that process that are currently being waited for.
- For each activity, find out who or what the activity is waiting for.
• Retrieve the process definition for the service instance.
• Retrieve a list of process definitions
• Be able to add new definitions (new Service Factories) to the server

2.2 Things to Achieve

In order to have a realizable agreement on useful capabilities in a short amount of time, it is important to be very clear about the goals of this effort.

- The Wf-XML extensions should adhere to the original guidelines of AWSP. The protocol should not reinvent anything unnecessarily. If a suitable standard exists, it should be used rather than re-implemented a different way. The protocol should be consistent with XML Protocol and SOAP.

- The protocol should be the minimal necessary to support a process engine.

- The protocol must be extensible. The first version will define a very minimal set of functionality. Yet a system must be able to extend the capability to fit the needs of a particular system, such that high level functionality can be communicated which gracefully degrades to interoperate with systems that do not handle those extensions.

- Like other Internet protocols, Wf-XML should not require or make any assumptions about the platform or the technology used to implement the generic asynchronous service.

- Terseness of expression is not a goal of this protocol. Ease of generation and parsability should be favored over compactness.

2.3 Things not part of the goals

It is also good practice to clearly demark those things that are not to be covered by the first generation of this effort:

- Like AWSP, Wf-XML is not designed to handle the transfer of large amounts of process relevant data. The data associated with, and stored within, a service instance is not anticipated to be more than 64K Bytes, and in most cases will be quite a bit less than this. If larger amounts of data than this are needed then it is anticipated that this data would be placed into some form of external service and that the AWSP will only need to carry the URI to that data. For example, a document would be placed on a web server and given the URI would be retrieved through normal HTTP.

- Wf-XML does not specify security. Rather, it relies on transport or session layer security. AWSP can adopt SOAP–specific security protocols once they are finalized.

These may be added in a later revision, but there is no requirement to support these from the first version, and so any discussion on these issues should not be part of AWSP working group meetings.
2.4 Audience

This document is intended for vendor organizations who wish to implement the Wf-XML protocol in e-commerce process flow engines, and also for consultants, VARs, or third party developers who wish to make a Wf-XML wrapper for an existing system service in order to integrate that service into a process flow or workflow environment.

2.5 Terminology

**Web Service:** A program or service accessible using XML and Internet technologies. Such a service might be found using UDDI, and might be described using WSDL. The typical interaction is: an originator makes a request is made to a web service, which performs the operation, and returns the result.

**Asynchronous Web Service:** A web service or set of web services designed around a mode of operation where a request is made to start an operation, and a later separate request is made to communicate the results of the operation. A number of requests may be made in between in order to control and monitor the asynchronous operation. The results of the operation may be delivered either by polling requests from the originator, or else by a notification request originated by the performer.

**Method:** An individual interoperable function is termed a “method”. Each method may be passed a set of request parameters and return a set of response parameters.

**Resource types:** Methods are divided into different groups to better identify their context. The primary groups of methods required for interoperability are named Instance, Factory, and Observer.

**Instance Resource:** This is the resource implemented by the Web Service that is actually performing the requested work. These resources allow for the actual monitoring and controlling of the work. Also called a Process Instance.

**Factory Resource:** This is the resource implemented by the service instance factory. Methods are provided to start new service instances, to list or search for existing instances, and to provide definitional information about the instances. Also called a process definition resource.

**Observer Resource:** This is a resource that a web service must implement in order to receive notification events from the service instance.

**Process Definition Resource:** synonymous with Factory Resource, but used when the factory is a process engine capable of describing the process it is enacting.

**Process Instance:** synonymous with Instance Resource.

**Service Registry Resource:** a resource that can add and remove process definitions (service factories) from the server. It is itself a service meta-factory, whose instances are factories.

**Context Data:** The XML data sent to initiate the service.

**Result Data:** The XML data created by the successful completion of the service.
2.6 Related Documents

An understanding of SOAP and how it works is assumed in order to understand this document.

Information on AWSP is available from the following address:

http://www.aswp.info/

as well as on the WfMC website.

2.7 Notation conventions

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC-2119

The following namespace prefixes are used throughout this document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prefix</th>
<th>Namespace URI</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wfx</td>
<td><a href="http://www.wfmc.org/wfxml/2.0/">http://www.wfmc.org/wfxml/2.0/</a></td>
<td>WF-XML namespace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aws</td>
<td><a href="http://www.awsp.info/spec/1.0/">http://www.awsp.info/spec/1.0/</a></td>
<td>AWSP namespace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>env</td>
<td><a href="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope/">http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope/</a></td>
<td>Envelope namespace from SOAP 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>enc</td>
<td><a href="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/encoding/">http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/encoding/</a></td>
<td>Encoding namespace from SOAP 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xsd</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema</a></td>
<td>XML Schema namespace</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Namespaces

This specification uses an informal syntax we call pseudo-XML to describe the XML grammar of an AWSP document. This syntax is similar to that employed by the WSDL 1.1 specification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Convention</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| The syntax appears as an XML instance, but the values indicate the data types instead of values. | <p:tag name="nmtoken"/>
| Paragraphs within tags are the description of the tag and should be thought of as commented out with <!-- --> | <p:tag> longer description of the purpose of the tag. </p:tag>
| Characters are appended to elements and attributes as follows: "?" (0 or 1), "*" (0 or more), "+" (1 or more). | <p:tag>*</p:tag>
| Elements names ending in "…" indicate that elements/attributes irrelevant to the context are being omitted or they are exactly as defined previously. | <p:tag.../>
| Grammar in bold has not been introduced earlier in the document, or is of particular interest in an example. | <p:tag/>
| "Extensible element" is a placeholder for elements from some "other" namespace (like ##other in XSD). | <<< extensible element -->>
| The XML namespace prefixes (defined above) are used to indicate the namespace of the element being defined | <<?pseudo-xml?>

Examples starting with <<?pseudo-xml?>> contain enough information to conform to this specification; others examples are fragments and require additional information to be specified in order to conform.
Table 2 Pseudo-XML documentation conventions

Formal syntax is available in supplementary XML Schema and WSDL specifications in the document.

3 Resource Model

3.1 Resources Type Overview

For the support of an asynchronous web service (AWS), five types of web services are defined to match the three roles of the interaction: Observer, ServiceRegistry, Factory, Instance, and Activity. A Web Service type is distinguished by the group of operations it supports, and so there are five groups of operations.

Three of these resources are described by AWSP: the observer, factory, and instance resources. The resources retain all the same methods and meanings from AWSP, but are extended with a couple of new methods.

For the purpose of discussion we describe the different role as if they came from different web services, but there is no requirement that each resource type be provided by a different web service; it is possible that a single web service may play more than one role in the interaction simply by implementing all the methods in more than one resource type.

Figure 1 Resource types of a process engine web service and the methods they use
3.1.1 Observer

The Observer resource provides a means by which a service instance may communicate information about events occurring during its execution, such as its completion or termination. Third-party resources may have an interest in the status of a given service instance for various organizational reasons. The Observer group will provide this information by giving a service instance the resource identifier of the requestor, which will be the observer of that service instance.

3.1.2 Service Registry

This is a special purpose factory (or meta-factory) that can start new factories associated with new process definitions given to them. It can provide a list of factories, in the same way that a factory can provide a list of instances. This special purpose factory is needed to allow process definition tools to add new processes to the server.

3.1.3 Factory

The Factory resource represents a "way of doing some work". For a process engine, this is also known as a process definition resource. Once a process definition is created (that is a description of process to be performed), the process engine exposes this as a factory resource.

3.1.4 Instance

The Instance resource is the actual "performance of work"; it is the process instance. It embodies the context information that distinguishes one process instance from another. Some people call this a "case". A process instance resource can be used only once: it is created, then it can be started, it can be paused, resumed, terminated. If things go normally, it will eventually complete.

3.1.5 Activity

The Activity resource is an extension of AWSP for Wf-XML. The process instance will at any point in time be waiting for what it considers to be an external action to be completed. The activity represents this wait-point within the process. The process may be waiting for a human to interact with it, or it may be waiting for the result of an automated step in the process. The activity presents information about what the process is waiting for, such as the assignee, and possibly detail about how long it has been waiting, and how long it is willing to wait. One way of invoking an external action is through the use of AWSP or Wf-XML. In this case, the activity is acting as an observer of that remote process. The activity can provide the URL of the remote process instance that it is waiting on.

3.2 URI

List AWSP, each resource has an URI address, called the Key. A given implementation has complete control over how it wishes to create the URI that names the resource. It must stick to a single method of producing these URI Keys, so that the names can serve as a unique id for the resource involved. The receiving program should treat it as an opaque value and not assume anything about the format of the URI.
3.3 Parameters

Associated with a method will be any number of named values that form the parameters of the method. These will be represented in the body of the SOAP request message. Some parameters are optional and may be omitted. In general, extra parameters can be added for extensibility; implementations should ignore any parameters that it does not understand.

3.4 Returned Values

The result of request is a block of data encoded in XML in the body of the SOAP message. Each method defines the values that it will return. Some values are marked as optional and are not required, the rest are required to be part of the return set. An implementation of a method may return more than the required set of named value, including new values unique to that implementation, as long as ignoring those values has no effect on the usefulness of the required values. Clients of such implementation should be coded to properly handle responses that do not include those extended values.

4 Protocol

4.1 AWSP

Please refer to the AWSP document for details on how the basic AWSP messages are build on top of SOAP structures. AWSP requires that there be one of the following elements within the body that represents the information needed for a specific operation:

- GetProperties.Request
- GetProperties.Response
- SetProperties.Request
- SetProperties.Response
- CreateInstance.Request
- CreateInstance.Response
- ListInstances.Request
- ListInstances.Response
- ChangeState.Request
- ChangeState.Response
- StateChanged.Request
- StateChanged.Response
- Notify.Request
- Notify.Response
- Subscribe.Request
- Subscribe.Response
- Unsubscribe.Request
- Unsubscribe.Response
- env:Fault

These tags and their contents are described in detail in the sections on the specific operations. WF-XML extends these for new operations, which require one of the following elements within the SOAP body:

- GetDefinition.Request
• GetDefinition.Response
• NewDefinition.Request
• NewDefinition.Response
• SetDefinition.Request
• SetDefinition.Response
• ListDefinitions.Request
• ListDefinitions.Response
• ListActivities.Request
• ListActivities.Response
• CompleteActivity.Request
• CompleteActivity.Response
• GetHistory.Request
• GetHistory.Response

4.2 Request & Response

SenderKey, ReceiverKey, ResponseRequired, RequestID: are defined by AWSP.

5 Resource Methods

This section covers the methods associated with each of the resources: Observer, ServiceRegistry, Factory, Instance, and Activity as per Figure 1.

5.1 Observer Resource

There are no differences in the Observer from the AWSP.

5.2 ServiceRegistry

This represents a registry of the business processes available with this service engine. Operations that can be performed include getting a list of the process definitions that already exist in the system, get an set (or modify) process definitions themselves, add new definitions, and get and set the properties of a definition.

5.2.1 Factory Resource Properties

• Key
• Name
• Description
• Version
• Status (Note, not the status as defined in Wf-XML 1.1, this is a usage status for the process definition itself, so a different name may need to be created)

Available Methods:

• ListDefinitions
• GetDefinition
• SetDefinition
• NewDefinition
• GetProperties
• SetProperties?? [Keith, see email – for name, description, and status]

**5.2.2 ListDefinitions**

Returns information on each of the currently available processes. The response returns the latest version of the process definitions URIs that are enabled for instance creation.

Process Definitions can be very large, so the function of this command is to return the URI to each process definition so that more information can be obtained by doing a GetDefinition request to the Process resource. Also returned in the response are the Process Name, Description, and Current version for each process definition.

Note that if a specific process definition name is provided, then the ListDefinition command will return all versions of the specified process definition. Otherwise, only the current versions of all available processes are returned.

Note that only currently enabled process definitions are returned in this request. If disabled or un-available process definitions are desired (i.e. process definitions that might be in work or resources that they require are off line), then the status property should be set to disabled, and all disabled process definitions will be returned.

Note that for CreateInstance, if the process URI passed in the key property references a process that the status is currently offline shall return an “offline” error response. Also, only the latest version of the process definition will be used by CreateInstance (no version parameter in the call). [Keith, where should this go, as this is an extension of the CreateInstance command that is not listed in this section since it is in the AWSP? The offline error response would have to be defined.]

Example ?? Factory resource ListDefinitions request

Example ?? Factory resource ListDefinitions response

Schema ?? Factory resource ListDefinitions method

**5.2.3 GetDefinition**

Since a factory represents a process definition, and because process definitions are potentially lengthy things that we would not want to mix in with the other properties of a factory, there is a special method to retrieve the process definition as an xml structure. It can be retrieved in a variety of different process languages, and the request specifies the language. All process engines must support XPDL representation to satisfy interoperability requirement, but they may additionally support other process formats.

```xml
<?pseudo-xml?>
<env:Envelope xmlns:env="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope">
  <env:Header>
    <aws:Request...>
  </env:Header>
  <env:Body>
    <wfx:GetDefinition.Request>
      <wfx:ProcessLanguage> XPDL </wfx:ProcessLanguage>
    </wfx:GetDefinition.Request>
  </env:Body>
</env:Envelope>
```
Example ?? Factory resource GetDefinition request

```xml
<?pseudo-xml?>
<env:Envelope xmlns:env="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope">
  <env:Header>
    <aws:Response...>
  </env:Header>
  <env:Body>
    <wfx:GetDefinition.Response>
      <xpdl...>
    </wfx:GetDefinition.Response>
  </env:Body>
</env:Envelope>
```

Example ?? Factory resource GetDefinition response

```xml
<?pseudo-xml?>
<env:Envelope xmlns:env="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope">
  <env:Header>
    <aws:Response...>
  </env:Header>
  <env:Body>
    <wfx:GetDefinition.Response>
      <any>
    </wfx:GetDefinition.Response>
  </env:Body>
</env:Envelope>
```

Schema ?? Factory resource GetDefinition method

5.2.4 SetDefinition

Just as there is a special method to retrieve the process definition as an xml structure, there is also a special method to set (or update) a process definition. It can be set in a variety of different process languages, and the request specifies the language. All process engines must support XPDL representation to satisfy interoperability requirement, but they may additionally support other process formats.

The Factory should support versioning of the process definitions. As the SetDefinition method is called, the Factory will update the process definition, and if successful, it will increment the version of the process, which will be returned within the response.

Example ?? Factory resource SetDefinition request

```xml
<?pseudo-xml?>
<env:Envelope xmlns:env="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope">
  <env:Header>
    <aws:Request...>
  </env:Header>
  <env:Body>
    <wfx:SetDefinition.Request>
      <wfx:ProcessLanguage>XPDL</wfx:ProcessLanguage>
    </wfx:SetDefinition.Request>
  </env:Body>
</env:Envelope>
```

Example ?? Factory resource SetDefinition response
5.2.5 NewDefinition

Just as there are special methods to retrieve and update the process definitions as an xml structure, there is also a special method to create a process definition. It can be set in a variety of different process languages, and the request specifies the language. All process engines must support XPDL representation to satisfy interoperability requirement, but they may additionally support other process formats.

Note that the process names are unique for a specific factory, and if a NewDefinition method is called with an existing name, then the method shall return a pre-defined error of XXX. [Keith – see email on this]
Example ?? Factory resource NewDefinition response

```xml
<xsd:element name="NewDefinition.Request">
  <xsd:complexType>
    <xsd:sequence>
      <xsd:element ref="ProcessLanguage"/>
    </xsd:sequence>
  </xsd:complexType>
</xsd:element>
<xsd:element name="NewDefinition.Response">
  <any/>
</xsd:element name="NewDefinition.Response">
```

Schema ?? Factory resource NewDefinition method

5.3 Factory Resource

5.3.1 Factory Resource Properties

Key, PortType, Name, Subject, Description, ValidStates, ContextDataSchema, ResultDataSchema, and Expiration are defined by AWSP.

The Factory resource retains the following operations from AWSP:

- GetProperties
- CreateInstance
- ListInstances

New operations added by Wf-XML:

- SetProperties??

5.4 Instance Resource

The Instance resource provides all the functions as specified by AWSP:

- GetProperties
- SetProperties
- Terminate
- Subscribe
- Unsubscribe

For a process engine, the service instance is synonymous with a process instance, and some additional methods allow access to the process information. Specifically:

- ListActivities

5.4.1 Instance Resource Properties

Key, PortTypes, State, Name, Subject, Description, ValidStates, FactoryKey, Observers, ContextData, ResultData, Priority, LastModified, History retain the definitions from AWSP.
5.4.2 ListActivities

Returns information on each of the currently active activities. This does not return a list of all possible activities as defined by the process definition. The only activities returned here are the ones that are currently enabled, and waiting for a response. This corresponds to things that have been assigned to people and which have not been completed. It also corresponds to sub-processes that have been invoked using a nested sub-process pattern, and it is waiting for the sub-process to complete.

Activities are resources themselves, so the most important function of this command is to return the URI values for each activity. After that, more information can be obtained by doing a GetProperties request to the activity resource. But making an additional SOAP request to get common information such as the name and description of the activity is a needless overhead, so some additional information is included in this response.

The response makes use of the name and description elements that are defined by AWSP, but introduces new elements for ActivityURI and Assignee (which is a symbolic name of the assigned user or agent). There can be multiple assignees for a single activity.

<?pseudo-xml?>
<env:Envelope xmlns:env="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope">
  <env:Header>
    <aws:Request...>
  </env:Header>
  <env:Body>
    <wfx:ListActivities.Request/>
  </env:Body>
</env:Envelope>

Example ?? Instance resource ListActivities method request

<?pseudo-xml?>
<env:Envelope xmlns:env="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope">
  <env:Header>
    <aws:Response...>
  </env:Header>
  <env:Body>
    <wfx:ListActivities.Response>
      <wfx:ActivityInfo>*
        <wfx:ActivityKey> URI </aws:ActivityKey>
        <aws:Name...>
        <aws:Description...>
        <wfx:Assignee...>*
      </wfx:ActivityInfo>
    </wfx:ListActivities.Response>
  </env:Body>
</env:Envelope>

Example ?? Instance resource ListActivities method response

<xsd:element name="ListInstances.Request"/>
</xsd:element>
<xsd:element name="ListInstances.Response">
  <xsd:complexType>
    <xsd:sequence>
      <xsd:element ref="ActivityKey"/>
      <xsd:element ref="Name" minOccurs="0"/>
      <xsd:element ref="Description" minOccurs="0"/>
      <xsd:element ref="Assignee" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    </xsd:sequence>
  </xsd:complexType>
</xsd:element>
5.5 Activity Resource

Represents a place whether the process is currently waiting for external input. There can be multiple of these if the process supports multiple branches executing in parallel.

**Key** – A URI that uniquely identifies this resource.

**State** – The current status of this activity. Will indicate whether the activity is waiting on a human or on a sub-process.

**Name** – A human readable identifier of the resource. This name may be nothing more than a number.

**Description** – A longer description of this activity resource. This property can be set using `SetProperties`.

**ValidStates** – A list of state values allowed by this resource. This is the list of states to which the current activity can transition.

**InstanceKey** – URI of the instance resource that this activity is a part of.

**RemoteInstance** – the URI of a remote service instance that this activity is waiting on.

**StartedDate** – the date that this activity was started, the point in time that this process instance started waiting for this external input.

**DueDate** – the date that this activity is expected to be completed by. “Expectation” is a loose term here. This will not be calculated using the average time that the activity take, but instead the maximum acceptable time, after which the activity is considered late, and special actions may be taken to resolve the issue.

**LastModified** – The date of the last modification of this activity, if available.

5.5.1 GetProperties

Similar to the GetProperties method of the instance resource, this is a single method that returns all the values of all the properties of the activity resource.

```xml
<?pseudo-xml?>
<env:Envelope xmlns:env="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope">
    <env:Header>
        <aws:Request...>
    </env:Header>
    <env:Body>
        <aws:GetProperties.Request/>
    </env:Body>
</env:Envelope>
```
Example ?? Activity resource GetProperties method request

```xml
<?pseudo-xml?>
<env:Envelope xmlns:env="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope">
  <env:Header>
    <aws:Response...>
  </env:Header>
  <env:Body>
    <aws:GetProperties.Response>
      <!-- properties -->
    </aws:GetProperties.Response>
  </env:Body>
</env:Envelope>
```

Example ?? Activity resource GetProperties method response

```xml
<xsd:element name="GetProperties.Request"/>
<xsd:element name="GetProperties.Response" type="activityProperties"/>
```

Schema ?? Instance resource GetProperties method

### 5.5.2 SetProperties

This method is similar to the SetProperties method of the instance resource. The SetProperties method allows as parameters all of the settable properties of the Activity resource. This method can be used to set at least the displayable name, the description, or the state of an activity resource. This is an abstract interface, and the resources that implement this interface may have other properties that can be set in this manner. All of the parameters are optional, but to have any effect at least one of them must be present. This returns the complete info for the resource, just as the GetProperties method does, which will include any updated values.

**Data:** A collection elements that represent the context of this Instance. The elements are from the schema defined by this resource. The context is considered to be the union of the previous context and these values, which means that a partial set of values can be used to update just those elements in the partial set having no effect on elements not present in the call.

```xml
<?pseudo-xml?>
<env:Envelope xmlns:env="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope">
  <env:Header>
    <aws:Request...>
  </env:Header>
  <env:Body>
    <aws:SetProperties.Request>
      <aws:Name...>?<aws:Description...>?<aws:State...>?<aws:Data>
        <!-- extensible element -->
      </aws:Data>
    </aws:SetProperties.Request>
  </env:Body>
</env:Envelope>
```

Example ?? Instance resource SetProperties method request
<aws:Response...>
</env:Header>
<env:Body>
  <aws:SetProperties.Response...>
    Returns the same response as GetProperties
  </aws:SetProperties.Response>
</env:Body>
</env:Envelope>

Example ?? Instance resource SetProperties method response

<xsd:element name="SetProperties.Request">
  <xsd:complexType>
    <xsd:element ref="Name"/>
    <xsd:element ref="Description"/>
    <xsd:element ref="State"/>
    <xsd:element name="Data" type="xsd:anyType"/>
  </xsd:complexType>
</xsd:element>
<xsd:element name="SetProperties.Response" type="activityProperties"/>

Schema ?? Instance resource SetProperties method

5.5.3 CompleteActivity

This operation tells the activity that it is complete. This could be used by the user who has been assigned to the activity to tell the system that the work is complete. If this activity is waiting for a sub-process to complete, then this method should not be used, and instead the observer resource “Completed” operation should be used to indicate that the sub-process is complete, and the process engine will find and complete the activity that is waiting on the sub-process.

Option: The name of the path to be used after completing the activity. This parameter is optional.

<?pseudo-xml?>
<env:Envelope xmlns:env="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope">
  <env:Header>
    <aws:Request...>
  </env:Header>
  <env:Body>
    <aws:CompleteActivity.Request>
      <aws:Option> option </aws:Option>
    </aws:CompleteActivity.Request>
  </env:Body>
</env:Envelope>

Example ?? Activity resource CompleteActivity method request

<?pseudo-xml?>
<env:Envelope xmlns:env="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope">
  <env:Header>
    <aws:Response...>
  </env:Header>
  <env:Body>
    <aws:CompleteActivity.Response/>
  </env:Body>
</env:Envelope>
Example Activity resource CompleteActivity method response

```xml
<xsd:element name="CompleteActivity.Request">
  <xsd:complexType>
    <xsd:sequence>
      <xsd:element ref="Option"/>
    </xsd:sequence>
  </xsd:complexType>
</xsd:element>
<xsd:element name="CompleteActivity.Response"/>
```

6 Data Encoding

6.1 Size Limits and Data Resources

The HTTP protocol places no limit on the size of the result information for a particular request, but in practice if the data gets to be too large, there requests will tend to get very slow. AWSP has a built in assumption that all core data is returned with most requests to the process. As a practical limit, process instance should be designed to have a maximum of 64K of data total, and an average process instance handling only 5 to 10K bytes.

If the process instance needs to handle more data than this, then the larger pieces of data should be stored as separate documents on a HTTP server and passed as URI reference. In this case the tag that would normally carry the information is empty, and has an “xlink” attribute specifying the URI to the data.

If data is passed to a process instance as a reference, there is an implicit commitment that the data resource will remain accessible until the process instance is completed. If the process instance returns data as a reference, that data resource must remain accessible for until the process is completed, and then for the amount of time specified by the CleanupInterval property on the process definition.

6.2 Data Types

Like AWSP, Wf-XML requires that this list of datatypes MUST be supported by a client are:

- xsd:boolean
- xsd:integer
- xsd:string
- xsd:dateTime
- xsd:anyURI

6.3 Extensibility

Actual implementations of these resources may extend the set of properties returned. This document defines the required minimum set, as well as an optional set. Every implementation MUST return the required properties. The implementation may optionally return additional properties. Use of extended properties must be carefully considered because this may limit the ability to interoperate with other systems. In general no system
should be coded so as to require an extended attribute. Instead, it should be able to function if the extended properties are missing. Future versions of this specification will cover the adoption of new properties to be considered part of the specification.

6.4 **PortTypes Property**

WfXML adds two new port types to the three that AWSP had:

```xml
<xsd:simpleType name="PortTypes">
    <xsd:restriction base="xsd:string">
        <xsd:enumeration value="Instance"/>
        <xsd:enumeration value="Factory"/>
        <xsd:enumeration value="Observer"/>
        <xsd:enumeration value="Activity"/>
        <xsd:enumeration value="ServiceRegistry"/>
    </xsd:restriction>
</xsd:simpleType>
```

**Schema 1 PortTypes**

6.5 **Exceptions and Error Codes**

Check if this is right.

All messages have the option of returning an exception. Exceptions are handled in the manner specified by SOAP 1.2. The header information should be the same, but in the body of the response, instead of having an AWSP element such as GetProperties.Response or CreateInstance.Response, there will be the SOAP exception element env:Fault.

Multi server transactions: AWSP does not include any way for multiple servers to participate in the same transactions. It will be up to individual systems to determine what happens if an AWSP request fails; in some cases it should be ignored, in some cases it should cause that transaction to fail, and in some cases the operation should be queued to repeat until it succeeds.

```xml
<?pseudo-xml?>
<env:Envelope xmlns:env="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope">
    <env:Header>
        <aws:Response.../>
    </env:Header>
    <env:Body>
        <env:Fault>
            <faultcode>env:Sender</faultcode>
            <faultstring>Header specific error</faultstring>
            <detail>
                <aws:ErrorCode>104</aws:ErrorCode>
                <aws:ErrorMessage>Invalid key</aws:ErrorMessage>
            </detail>
        </env:Fault>
    </env:Body>
</env:Envelope>
```

**Example 1 Exception**

These error codes are chosen to be specific with the error codes defined by the Workflow Management Coalition Wf-MXL 1.1 specification. [Fit this is with SOAP Fault structure, improve the names since Fault uses string names.]
Header-specific

These exceptions deal with missing or invalid parameters in the header.

AWSP_PARSING_ERROR 101
AWSP_ELEMENT_MISSING 102
AWSP_INVALID_VERSION 103
AWSP_INVALID_RESPONSE_REQUIRED_VALUE 104
AWSP_INVALID_KEY 105
AWSP_INVALID_OPERATION_SPECIFICATION 106
AWSP_INVALID_REQUEST_ID 107

Data

These exceptions deal with incorrect context or result data

AWSP_INVALID_CONTEXT_DATA 201
AWSP_INVALID_RESULT_DATA 202
AWSP_INVALID_RESULT_DATA_SET 203

Authorization

A user may not be authorized to carry out this operation on a particular resource, e.g., may not create a process instance for that process definition.

AWSP_NO_AUTHORIZATION 301

Operation

The operation can not be accomplished because of some temporary internal error in the workflow engine. This error may occur even when the input data is syntactically correct and authorization is permitted.

AWSP_OPERATION_FAILED 401

Resource Access

A valid Key has been used, however this operation cannot currently be invoked on the specified resource.

AWSP_NO_ACCESS_TO_RESOURCE 501
AWSP_INVALID_FACTORY 502
AWSP_MISSING_INSTANCE_KEY 503
AWSP_INVALID_INSTANCE_KEY 504

Operation-specific

These are the more operation specific exceptions. Typically, they are only used in a few operations, possibly a single one.

AWSP_INVALID_STATE_TRANSITION 601
AWSP_INVALID_OBSERVER_FOR_RESOURCE 602
AWSP_MISSING_NOTIFICATION_NAME 603
AWSP_INVALID_NOTIFICATION_NAME 604
AWSP_HISTORY_NOT_AVAILABLE 605

7 References
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11 Open Issues

Remove this section before distribution

- Are the new commands in the correct places?

- Not sure if you want this added, but I know of a few engines that allow you to take a process "off-line" for maintenance, or resource re-allocation, or just because there are errors within the process definition. Right now, since there isn't a SetProperties on the Factory, there isn't a way that I can see to set the Status property. We could add SetProperties, which might be good, because I might want to change the name and/or description of the of the process definition, or even startup parameters that are available within the process (though that might get to hard to define in this initial
version, so the most important ones would be the name, description, and status). What do you think?

- Wouldn't Terminate just be a ChangeState command?

- Is there a "list all properties" in a GetProperties operation so that I can get a listing of all available properties? Wondering if it would make sense to add a ListProperties operation to find out what is there to begin with.... Or, we can request from the process definition a list of properties that are available, but that is all I want from the definition, so it would be nice if this is the route that we use that we "ask" it specifically for the available properties, and not the complete process definition. However, this creates some grief for us, as we can have different properties based on items added to the container that the instance may or may not carry with it, so the process definition may not have the defined values (this is the issue I brought up before to the WG4). Same goes for the properties associated with a specific activity, do we have to go to the definition to get the available properties, or can we just ask for them. Or is that getting too complex to go asking for them everywhere? Thoughts?

- Does the "StateChanged" return that a property has changed, and not necessarily the state itself? If not, then we would need a message from the Instance that states that a property has changed (PropertyChanged).

- Why does GetProperties show as a response? Wouldn't that really be part of the return message from a GetProperties command? Or is the GetProperties the "message" that I thought would be "PropertyChanged" above?

- As far as History, since we could ask for history for the process (process modifications - if supported), for multiple instances of the same process (cross-instance process history), for a specific instance (all activity within that specific instance), for an activity for multiple instances of the same process (cross-instance activity history), and last, but not least, history for a specific activity within a single instance of a process (currently running or not), where do I put this, or do I put it at all three blocks? We could just put it at the factory level and "pass in" the desired level of history that we want, but not sure that follows the same pattern as we do with the properties, as we allow them to ask at each layer. Thoughts?

- I can see why ListActivities may cause confusion - people thinking that they will get all of the activities. They will need to read the spec to see that it only returns "active" activities.

- Because we can pass a specific process instance KEY to the method for update, versioning will be fine. But on a new definition, how does the system know that it is a new definition? Just because they called the "new" method? Do we allow duplicate process names? If so, how does the external process differentiate between duplicate process names? Especially if the description is the same or blank?